

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			* A Targetter Community		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,358	01/27/2000	Jae Ryong Kim	0465-0662P-SP	9779	
75	7590 01/13/2004			EXAMINER	
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			LEE, MICHAEL		
PO Box 747 Falls Church, V	VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2614	19	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A condition of the state of the	A!:4/-\	
, *	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/492,358	KIM ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Lee	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the 37.0	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The apporting the final the	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe		·
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	is.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed: <u>21-25</u> .		•	
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4 and 12-14.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-11 and 15-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
	Θ	M. Lee	
·		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2614	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the transparent object in Dougherty still meets the limitations as claimed. For instance, the transparent object, being additional information of the video signal, can be placed on top of the video image (col. 13, lines 37-45) which in turn designates an object, such as a person, in the video image to be selected by the user. Since the designation object is transparent, the user only sees the person with additional information attached. Therefore, broadly interpreted, the claimed invention is still met by Dougherty.